



Draft
Recirculated Environmental Impact Report/
Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

**East Bay Municipal Utility District
Supplemental Water Supply Project**
SCH# 1996022035

Lead Agencies:



and

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

Environmental Consultants:

Jones & Stokes
Sacramento, California



and

Uribe & Associates
Oakland, California



DRAFT

Recirculated Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement

**Supplemental Water Supply Project and Water Service Contract Amendment
Between the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation and the
East Bay Municipal Utility District**

The U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) previously prepared a draft joint environmental impact report/environmental impact statement (1997 DEIR/EIS) on the proposed EBMUD Supplemental Water Supply Project and possible water service contract amendment for water from the American River Division of the Central Valley Project (CVP). The 1997 DEIR/EIS was published and released for public review and comment in November 1997. EBMUD was the state lead agency, and Reclamation was the federal lead agency for the DEIR/EIS, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act and the National Environmental Policy Act, respectively.

EBMUD currently holds a contract with Reclamation, signed in 1970, for delivery of up to 150,000 acre-feet per year from the existing Folsom South Canal. The existing contract specifies a delivery location at an existing turnout structure near Grant Line Road in Sacramento County. EBMUD's project objectives are to obtain a supplemental water supply to assist in meeting its drought needs, reducing customer deficiencies during extended droughts, and providing system reliability and to allow EBMUD to make use of its existing contract with Reclamation for delivery of water from the American River. Two primary alternatives were considered in the 1997 DEIR/EIS, and alternate project configurations of each of these primary alternatives were also considered.

During public review of the 1997 DEIR/EIS, EBMUD and Reclamation received a number of comments. In particular, some commenters focused on the range of alternatives considered in detail in the 1997 DEIR/EIS and on certain impact assessment methodologies related to water temperatures and fishery resources. After reviewing these comments, EBMUD and Reclamation have decided to prepare this recirculated EIR/supplemental EIS (REIR/SEIS) to address these specific comments.

This REIR/SEIS describes the environmental effects of taking delivery of water under EBMUD's contract from the lower American River near its confluence with the Sacramento River, two locations on the Sacramento River between its confluence with the American River and Freeport, California, and the Bixler location in the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta. Emphasis is directed toward potential effects related to American River fisheries, endangered species, CVP water users, pipeline construction, and biological resources in the EBMUD service area. The REIR/SEIS also fulfills the requirements of Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), 11990 (Protection of Wetlands), and 12898 (Environmental Justice).

For further information on this REIR/SEIS, contact Mr. Kurt Ladensack, Water Supply Improvements Division, EBMUD, P.O. Box 24055, Oakland, CA 94623, telephone (510) 287-1197, or Mr. Rob Schroeder, Environmental Specialist, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Central California Area Office, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom, CA 95630, telephone (916) 988-1707.

Comments on the EIR/EIS must be provided by November 20, 2000.

This document should be cited as:

Jones & Stokes, and Uribe & Associates, 2000. East Bay Municipal Utility District—
Supplemental Water Supply Project. Draft Recirculated Environmental Impact
Report/Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement. October 2000. Sacramento,
CA. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland, CA, and U.S. Bureau
of Reclamation, Folsom, CA.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Acronyms and Abbreviations..... | vii |
| Summary..... | S-1 |
| Chapter 1. Introduction and Project Background..... | 1-1 |
| PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT | 1-1 |
| ORGANIZATION OF THIS REIR/SEIS | 1-2 |
| Chapter 2. Alternatives Considered in the Recirculated Environmental Impact Report/ Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement..... | 2-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 2-1 |
| PROJECT OBJECTIVES | 2-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS | 2-1 |
| PROJECT ALTERNATIVES | 2-2 |
| Chapter 3. Hydrology and Water Supply | 3-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 3-1 |
| MODELING ASSUMPTIONS | 3-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 3-3 |
| RESULTS | 3-7 |
| Chapter 4. Water Quality | 4-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 4-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 4-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 4-2 |
| Chapter 5. Fisheries | 5-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 5-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 5-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 5-9 |
| Chapter 6. Recreation | 6-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 6-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 6-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 6-4 |
| Chapter 7. Vegetation and Wetland Resources | 7-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 7-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 7-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 7-6 |
| Chapter 8. Wildlife | 8-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 8-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 8-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 8-2 |
| Chapter 9. Geology, Soils, Seismicity, and Groundwater..... | 9-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 9-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 9-1 |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 9-2 |
| Chapter 10. Land Use | 10-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 10-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 10-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 10-4 |
| Chapter 11. Agricultural Resources | 11-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 11-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 11-2 |
| Chapter 12. Transportation and Circulation..... | 12-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 12-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 12-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 12-9 |
| Chapter 13. Air Quality | 13-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 13-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 13-1 |
| AIR QUALITY CONDITIONS..... | 13-1 |
| EXISTING AIR QUALITY CONDITIONS | 13-5 |
| REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT | 13-5 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 13-5 |
| Chapter 14. Noise | 14-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 14-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 14-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 14-4 |
| Chapter 15. Public Health and Safety | 15-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 15-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 15-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 15-2 |
| Chapter 16. Visual Resources | 16-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 16-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 16-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 16-3 |
| Chapter 17. Cultural Resources..... | 17-1 |
| INTRODUCTION..... | 17-1 |
| AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT | 17-1 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... | 17-4 |
| Chapter 18. Programmatic Analysis of the Groundwater Storage/Conjunctive Use Element of the Sacramento Parties' Modified Proposal | 18-1 |
| BACKGROUND..... | 18-1 |
| GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF GROUNDWATER STORAGE/CONJUNCTIVE USE PROGRAM | 18-2 |
| PROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH A GROUNDWATER STORAGE/CONJUNCTIVE USE PROGRAM | 18-4 |

| | |
|--|------|
| Chapter 19. Citations | 19-1 |
| PRINTED REFERENCES | 19-1 |
| PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS | 19-1 |
| Chapter 20. List of Preparers..... | 20-1 |
| Appendix A. Modified Water Supply Project Proposal for the East Bay Municipal Utility District and the Sacramento Parties | |
| Appendix B. Supplemental Water Supply Project—Proposed Diversion Scenarios | |
| Appendix C. East Bay Municipal Utility District Policy 81 | |

List of Figures

| Figure | | Page |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| S-1 | Alternative 2: Folsom South Canal Connection..... | S-6 |
| S-2 | Alternative 3: Joint Water Supply | S-8 |
| S-3 | Alternative 4: EBMUD-Only Lower American River Delivery Alternative | S-9 |
| S-4 | Alternative 5: Sacramento River Delivery Alternative | S-11 |
| S-5 | Alternative 6: Freeport East Delivery | S-12 |
| S-6 | Alternative 7: Freeport South Delivery | S-13 |
| S-7 | Alternative 8: Bixler Delivery..... | S-14 |
| 2-1 | Alternative 4: EBMUD-Only Lower American River Delivery Alternative | 2-5 |
| 2-2 | Conceptual Design of Intake Pumping Plant | 2-6 |
| 2-3a | Alternative 4: Intake and Pipeline Locations | 2-7 |
| 2-3b | Alternative 4: Intake and Pipeline Locations (Continued)..... | 2-9 |
| 2-4 | Typical Shored Trench Pipeline Construction Cross Section | 2-14 |
| 2-5 | Alternative 5: Sacramento River Delivery Alternative | 2-17 |
| 2-6 | Alternative 5: Intake and Pipeline Locations | 2-18 |
| 2-7 | Alternative 6: Freeport East Delivery | 2-20 |
| 2-8 | Alternative 7: Freeport South Delivery | 2-23 |
| 2-9 | Alternative 8: Bixler Delivery..... | 2-25 |
| 3-1 | Annual Deliveries for Alternative 4 Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 | 3-6 |
| 3-2 | Annual Deliveries for Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8..... | 3-8 |
| 3-3 | Folsom Lake Storage: Alternative 4 and Alternative 1 | 3-9 |
| 3-4 | Folsom Lake Storage: Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8 and Alternative 1..... | 3-10 |
| 3-5 | American River Flows Below Nimbus Dam: Alternative 4 and Alternative 1 | 3-14 |
| 3-6 | American River Flows Below Nimbus Dam: Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8 and Alternative 1..... | 3-15 |
| 3-7 | American River Flows Below Freeport: Alternative 4 and Alternative 1 | 3-16 |
| 3-8 | American River Flows Below Freeport: Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8 and Alternative 1 | 3-17 |
| 4-1 | Rock Slough Chloride: Alternative 2 and Alternative 1 | 4-4 |
| 4-2 | Rock Slough Chloride: Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8 and Alternative 1 | 4-6 |
| 14-1 | Sacramento County Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environments | 14-2 |
| 18-1 | Potential Groundwater Storage Locations..... | 18-3 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| S-1 | Summary of Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Supplemental Water Supply Project | S-15 |
| S-2 | Summary of Impacts Evaluated and Determined to be Less Than Significant for the Supplemental Water Supply Project | S-18 |
| 3-1 | Primary Assumptions Used in the EBMUD PROSIM Modeling | 3-2 |
| 3-2 | Comparison of American River Demands (acre-feet)..... | 3-4 |
| 3-3 | Summary of Average Differences in Hydrologic Characteristics as Compared with Alternative 1 for Selected Locations | 3-11 |
| 3-4 | Difference in Hydrologic Conditions Between Alternative 1 and Alternatives 5, 6, 7, and 8 | 3-12 |
| 5-1 | Fish Species of Folsom Reservoir and the Lower American River | 5-1 |
| 5-2 | Suggested Flow Requirements for the Lower American River by Agency for the Maintenance and/or Enhancement of Chinook Salmon | 5-5 |
| 5-3 | Effects of Changes in Reservoir Elevation on Warmwater Fish Habitat in Folsom Reservoir...5-11 | 5-11 |
| 5-4 | Effects of Changes in Reservoir Storage on Coldwater Fish Habitat in Folsom Reservoir.....5-12 | 5-12 |
| 5-5 | Hodge Decision and AFRP Flows (Nimbus Dam) | 5-12 |
| 5-6 | Effects of Lower American River Flows on Fall-Run Chinook Salmon (Nimbus Dam) | 5-13 |
| 5-7 | Effects of Lower American River Flows on Winter-Run Chinook Salmon (Nimbus Dam).....5-14 | 5-14 |
| 5-8 | Effects of Lower American River Flows on Steelhead (Nimbus Dam)..... | 5-15 |
| 5-9 | Effects of Lower American River Flows on Splittail (Nimbus Dam)..... | 5-15 |
| 5-10 | Percent of Months with Potential to Have at Least a 1°F Increase at Four Locations along the American River Under Alternatives 4 through 8 | 5-16 |
| 7-1 | Additional Special-Status Plant Species Known or with Potential to Occur in the Alternatives 4 through 8 Project Areas | 7-3 |
| 8-1 | Special-Status Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in the Project Area | 8-3 |
| 11-1 | Estimates of the Value of Agricultural Production in the Study Area for 1999..... | 11-1 |
| 11-2 | Estimated Acreage of Prime Farmland within Each Alignment Corridor Under Alternatives 4 and 5 | 11-4 |
| 11-3 | Estimated Harvest Acreage and Production Values within the Alignment Corridors Under Alternatives 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8..... | 11-5 |
| 11-4 | Estimated Acreage of Prime Farmland within Corridors for Alternatives 4 through 8 | 11-6 |
| 12-1 | Street Segments Affected by Construction of the Intake to Folsom South Canal Pipeline Alignment UnderAlternatives 4 and 5 | 12-1 |
| 12-2 | Roadways Crossed by the Intake to Folsom South Canal Pipeline Alignment Under Alternatives 4 and 5 | 12-2 |
| 12-3 | Roadways Crossed by the Folsom South Canal to Mokelumne Aqueducts Pipeline Alignment Under Alternatives 4, 5, and 6..... | 12-2 |
| 12-4 | Street Segments Affected by Construction of the Freeport to Folsom South Canal Pipeline Alignment Under Alternative 6..... | 12-2 |
| 12-5 | Major Roadways Crossed by the Pipeline Alignments between Freeport and Folsom South Canal Under Alternative 6 | 12-2 |
| 12-6 | Street Segments Affected by Construction of the Freeport to Stockton Pipeline Alignment under Alternative 7..... | 12-3 |

| | | |
|------|--|------|
| 12-7 | Roadways Crossed by the Pipeline Alignment between Freeport and Stockton under Alternative 7..... | 12-3 |
| 12-8 | Roadways Crossed by the Finished Water and Brine Pipelines..... | 12-4 |
| 13-1 | Ambient Air Quality Standards Applicable in California | 13-2 |
| 13-2 | Updated CO, Ozone, and PM ₁₀ Data for Sacramento County..... | 13-3 |
| 13-3 | Updated CO, Ozone, and PM ₁₀ Data for San Joaquin County | 13-4 |
| 13-4 | Summary of CO, Ozone, and PM ₁₀ Monitoring Data for Contra Costa County | 13-6 |
| 13-5 | Summary of ROG, NO _x , and PM ₁₀ Emissions for Alternatives 4 through 8..... | 13-9 |
| 17-1 | Inventory of Known Cultural Resources along Alternatives | 17-5 |

Acronyms and Abbreviations

| | |
|------------------|---|
| AFRP | Anadromous Fish Restoration Program |
| ARB | Air Resources Board |
| BAAQMD | Bay Area Air Quality Management District |
| BMP | Best Management Practice |
| CDC | California Department of Conservation |
| CDFG | California Department of Fish and Game |
| CEQ | Council on Environmental Quality |
| CEQA | California Environmental Quality Act |
| cfs | cubic feet per second |
| CHRIS | California Historical Resources Information System |
| CNPS | California Native Plant Society |
| CO | Carbon monoxide |
| Corps | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| CVP | Central Valley Project |
| CVPIA | Central Valley Project Improvement Act |
| CVRWQCB | Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board |
| DEIR | Draft environmental impact report |
| Delta | San Joaquin—Sacramento Delta |
| DPR | California Department of Parks and Recreation |
| DWR | California Department of Water Resources |
| EBMUD | East Bay Municipal Utility District |
| EC | Electrical conductivity |
| EIS | Environmental impact statement |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ESU | Evolutionarily Significant Unit |
| FEIR | Final environmental impact report |
| FSC | Folsom South Canal |
| I-5 | Interstate 5 |
| I-50 | Interstate 50 |
| IFIM | Instream Flow Incremental Methodology |
| kV | Kilovolt |
| mg/L | milligram per liter |
| mm | millimeter |
| msl | mean sea level |
| NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| NMFS | National Marine Fisheries Service |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| NRA | National Recreation Area |
| PG&E | Pacific Gas and Electric Company |
| PM ₁₀ | Inhalable particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter |
| ppm | parts per million |
| ppt | parts per trillion |
| Reclamation | U.S. Bureau of Reclamation |
| REIR | Revised environmental impact statement |
| SEIS | Supplemental environmental impact statement |
| SFBRWQCB | San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board |
| SJVAPCD | San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District |
| SMAQMD | Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District |

| | |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| SMUD | Sacramento Municipal Utility District |
| SRA | State Recreation Area |
| SWP | State Water Project |
| SWPPP | Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan |
| UPRR | Union Pacific Railroad |
| USB | Ultimate Service Boundary |
| USFS | U.S. Forest Service |
| USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
| WPRR | Western Pacific Railroad |
| WSMP | Water Supply Management Program |
| WTP | Water treatment plant |
| X2 | Two parts-per-thousand isohaline |
| µg | micrograms |